LETTER

To the Right Hon.

The EARL of TEMPLE,

ONTHE

Subject of the Forty-fifth Number of the North-Briton;

AND ON HIS

Patronage of the Supposed AUTHOR of it.

Qui facit per alterum, facit per se. LAW MAXIM.

Carum esse civem, bene de republica mereri; laudari, coli, diligi, gloriosum est i metui vero, Es in odio esse, invidiosum, detestabile, imbecillum, Caducum.

INSCRIPTION on the TEMPLE of Ancient VIRTUE in the Gardens of Stow.

LONDON:

Printed, and Sold by J. HINXMAM, in Pater-noster Row. MDCCLXIII.

[Price One Shilling.]

B. 2027 L2.5010

MARVARD COLLEGE LIBRARY
MAY, 28, 1913
SUBSCRIPTION FUR
ENGLISH HISTORICAL TRACTS

The East of TEMPLE.

SHIMO

Subject of the Forty fill Number of the Nearth Extron:

ALDON HIS

Paronege of die Supposed Aurwas of ic.

Qui fair for alorem, fix for h.

mirald was

Carum esse circus, bene de i que éca mereri, landari, coi, destre, glorissito est: mesas corro, es in o so os os in musicolais, desegnabile, una coillum. Casacian.

Inscription on the Hangage of Ancisp.
Viature in the Cardens of Stown.

ENO O NO D

Princed, and Sold by J. Hyarasa is, in Poter notice Room, identication.

I'I' julie in our]

not only derectory of also believed of a

(11)

LETTER

The EARL of TEMPLE: con si ai angiorena maka ve tud : poir ele

MY Lord and will A been

Otwithstanding Mr. Wilkes has been confidered by the ministry as the author of the 45th number of the North Briton, the zeal which on that account you have manifested in his favour too evidently evinces your public avowal and approbation of the contents thereof to admit the least doubt that your and his fentiments are the same on that subject. For this reason my Lord I shall not apologize for the liberty I take of addressing you in the following pages. I shall endeavour to convince yourfelf, at least all those whom passion and prejudice have not totally blinded and irreclaimably misled, that your late proceedings are irreconcilable with that character which should ever accompany the rank of nebility.

nobility; that the writings of the North Briton are contaminated with malevolence. misrepresentation and fallacy; and tend to excite commotions in the state, which are not only derogatory of the behaviour of a good subject, but of human nature. The first paragraph of this unparalleled North Briton declares, "the King's Speech has " always been confidered by the legislature, " and by the public at large, as the speech of the minister." This is indeed a round affertion; but by what argument is it supported? When both houses of parliament address their sovereigns on their speeches from the throne, do they confider these addreffes as prefented to the minister? If they do not, with what truth has it been afferted that the King's Speech has been always confidered by them as that of the minister? Do even those who consider those speeches as composed by the admistration, conceive they are not approved, or not understood by the fovereign who delivers them; or that he can be induced by any minister to deliver as his own, what he neither approves nor understands? And yet fomething of this kind must be the fecret opinion, whatever may be the open avowal of the author of the above North Briton, whom you countenance. Will it not then my

my lord be difficult to explain in what manner that infolence which he affects to have offered to the minister, can be distinct from offering it to the king? Whoever then my lord may be the composer of those speeches which are delivered from the throne, does not his majesty by pronouncing them therefrom make them his own? Wherefore with what ever artissice it may be attempted to throw the imaginary culpability of the contents thereof on the minister, must it not rest upon the king? And the indecency and ill manners which are contained in such attempts are they not an insult on majesty itself?

Such being the true stake of the first paragraph, my lord I will now proceed to shew in what an illiberal manner his majesty has been treated by the North Briton. The author of it proceeds to say, "this week has given the public the most abandoned instance of ministerial effrontery ever attempted to be imposed on mankind." Such my lord, if my reasoning be right, is the imputation of the North Briton to his sovereign, though he has affected to place it to the account of the minister, and such, I fear, which you have adopted as your own by publicly esposing the author of it.

B 2 Before

ons

Before I proceed my lord to enquire into the reasons for such flanderous affertions; to develope the falsehoods which they include; and to prove that they contain no fingle instance of abandoned effrontery; permit me to ask you a few questions concerning the subsequent parts of the same paragraph, which fays "I am in doubt whether the " imposition is greater on the sovereign, or " on the nation. Every friend of his coun-" try must lament that a prince of so many " great and amiable qualities whom Eng-" land truly reveres, can be brought to " give the fanction of his facred name to, the most odious measures, and to the most " unjustifiable public declarations from a " throne ever renowned for truth honour ", and unfullied virtue." And pray my lord did you well confider the glaring contradictions in the parts of this extract, before you adopted the author of them? Can a prince my lord endowed with great and amiable qualities be brought to pronounce the most abandoned instance of effrontery? And if, as the North Briton declares, he has been induced to pronounce it, can you conceive him to be the great the amiable person he affects to describe him? If you believe his majesty possesses those great and amiable qualities, is it possible you can believe he has

has delivered an abandoned instance of effrontery from the throne? And if you believe that what he spoke on that occasion is that abandoned instance which the North Briton deems it, is it possible, you can believe the king can enjoy those great and amiable qualities? You my lord or your North Briton must reconcile these incompatible circumstances, or leave the world to embrace their own opinions on that subject, which probably may be different from yours. However my lord though fuch reconcilement is beyond my powers I am willing to exert, for myfelf alone, the utmost stretch of implicit faith, and to agree that you may possibly have believed, or did not discern the contradictions above mentioned; and then my lord I would ask you what opinion the nation must conceive of that intellect, which cannot discover such palpable absurdities; and what attention ought to be paid to measures which are adopted by the possessor of it? And if you did difcern them, how will you vindicate your rank and honour from the imputation which every honest man must fix upon your lordship for thus publicly avowing them?

I hope my lord it is true that England does revere his majesty. I am sure he deferves it: but I cannot be induced to believe

that

that your Lordship and the North Briton revere him. Is it possible that such indignant treatment can be offered to the person whom men revere? The world, at least that part of it not yet run mad, believes that the above calumny has been spread in order to efface that reverence which the subjects of England ought to cherish for a prince of qua-

lities fo great and amiable.

It is in vain that men affect, on such occations to screen themselves from imputations of difloyalty, by a pretended veneration for their prince; or by laying the most atrocious accufations on the minister in words, to imagine they escape the criminality of facts which throw them on their fovereign; fince it is more certain that his majesty pronounced the speech, than that the minister composed it. There are none so perfectly deluded who do not perceive that the culpability which the North Briton would compel that speech to contain, though it scar the minister, must wound his master; like the arrow of Sir Walter Tyrrel, which though levelled at the hart, was lodged within the bosom of the king.

Having advanced thus far my lord let me now confider on what this hardy affertion of abandoned effrontery is founded. It is on these words of his majesty's " my expecta-

' tions

tions have been fully answered by the happy effects which the several allies of my crown have derived from this salutary measure of the definitive treaty. The powers at war with my good brother, the King of Prussia, have been induced to agree to such terms of accommodation, as that great prince has approved, and the success which has attended my negotiation, has necessarily and immediately diffused the blessings of peace through

" every part of Europe."

Certainly my lord there is no instance of abandoned effrontery in his majesty's declaring his expectations are fully answered by the happy effects which the feveral allies of his crown have derived from this falutary measure of the definitive treaty. If you still conceive, that it contains that instance; you must believe the author must have known that the fentiments of his fovereign belied his words, and that he pronounced with his lips what his heart disapproved: a fentiment neither honourable to your prince norto the dignity of an earldom. But it is of little advantage to your cause what you may think on this head in fince your favourite North Briton has afferted "that the King of Pruffia " did not barely approve but absolutely " dictated as conqueror every article of the " terms

terms of peace." Will you then my lord support a man who so preposterously infinuates that his majesty's expectations were not fully answered by that power in the King of Pruffia of clictating the terms of peace? Or can it be denied that the powers at war have been induced to agree to fuch terms of accommodation as that great prince has approved? Unless indeed you believe that the King of Prussia has dictated such terms as he did not approve; and that his majesty by withdrawing the King of France from affifting the Empress Queen has not contributed to the Pruffian prince's, dictating thefe very terms of peace. With what truth can it be denied that the fuccess which attended his majesty's negociation has necessarily and immediately diffused the blessings of peace through every part of Europe? Since the King of Prussia, finding the English administration would be no longer duped to fupport him by fubfidies, has inflantly agreed to terms of pacification. Tho his majesty has chosen to express himself in terms more consentaneous to the complacent dispositions of his heart, than your fastidious architype would have felected; are not the confequences of the definitive treaty the same which the infolent North Briton has afferted to be different from the meaning of the

fpeech from the throne?

Where then is the infamous fallacy of the above expressions, which has been imputed to his majefty? And with what propriety can it be pronounced, that no advantage has accrued to the king of Prussia from our fovereign's negociation? Were these affertions grounded on declarations from the Prussian prince, is it not an insolence to believe him in preference to your lawful fovereign? There was a time when the authority of the former would have weighed but liftle in the scale of England; and no fovereign has given less cause for distrusting his truth and honour than the present who fills the throne of these realms. But when the veracity of his majesty's declaration is evinced by the incontrovertible evidence of facts, what ought we to think of you, who can fo notoriously espouse the cause of that man who has fo audaciously afferted the contrary, described for the grant for the contrary, described the contrary the contrary and described the contrary t

On what " terms of friendship the king " of Prussia was at this court," I do not presume to know, my lord; but that he was " betrayed in the treaty of peace," is an absolute contradiction to what your favourite North Briton asserts, and you adopt. It is impossible a sovereign, so betrayed,

C could

ions

could have "dictated the terms of peace " as conqueror;" or, as the North Briton declares, " that he would have stipulated " fecurity for the elector of Hanover." It is not the characteristic of the Prussian monarch to return good for evil, or to feek the advantage of those who have betrayed him; and I must prefer the testimony of his general character to the affertions of the North Briton and the fentiments of your lordship. But, my lord, were none of these reasons to be adduced, I should postpone all belief of what the former can declare, to the fingle circumstance of his majesty's acknowledged character; a prince who is as incapable of treachery to his allies, as your favourite is of exhibiting acts of candour; when ambition, in the hands of you and your brother-in-law, is goading him on to deeds which posterity will behold with horror.

I defy him to exhibit the least act of treachery in our present sovereign, unless, peradventure, he should denominate the difinclination to renew the fubfidiary treaty, which your faid brother at first so invectively condemned, and then to prefumptuously renewed; that treaty which hath proved fo expensive and so useless to the nation; that treaty fo confentaneous to his and your MILICAN .

recreant pursuits in Germany, an act of treachery: if such conduct be to betray an ally, to save the treasure of England is treachery also, in his opinion; a treachery which you would have done well to have

adopted.

Your favourite, my lord, continues to affert, " that the preliminary articles of " peace have drawn the contempt of man-"kind on our wretched negotiators." Not of all mankind, permit me to fay. The two houses of the legislature, in the upper of which you were never deemed the wifeft member, though your hendecafyllable brother has been thought the most loquacious of the lower, have approved and applauded this peace. The feats of learning, one of which your North Briton has egregiously abused, have, from conviction of its bleffing, followed their example; as well as the city of London, and many other parts of this realm. Are you, my lord, and your minion, more learned and more wife than those august assemblies? He must write, and you must patronize, a different kind of literature, before the world will be induced to offer that facrifice, of believing it, to your vanity.

From what part of mankind has this contempt been drawn? From such, my lord,

C2 whole

whose inordinate ambition, like that of your lordship, has seduced them to declare, that they will no longer remain in the ministry than they can despotically rule the sovereign and his subjects. From men whose antipatriotic avarice, by iniquitous contracts and remittances, has been devouring the vitals of their country. From men whose remorfeless proftitution has been trading, to the nation's ruin, in 'Change-Alley. From all who delight in blood, and expect advantage from the effusion of it. Who see, with infinite delight, their fellow-fubjects flain, their posterity mortgaged, and the manufactories of the realm oppressed with endless taxes.

What a strain of malevolence, my lord, appears in returning to the preliminaries of peace, in order to reprehend a minister for that which your favourite has allowed to have been rectified in the definitive treaty! Yet, my lord, in what manner can that original mistake be with justice applied to him, since the most proper precaution was taken, by applying to the East India company, who ought to have been best acquainted with that subject? That body is solely responsible for that error, of which the North Briton accuses the then minister; and since he acknowledges it to have been reme-

remedied, how is the nation injured, or lord Bute to be reasonably blamed? Is it not amazing, my lord, into what inadvertencies, and self-condemnation, malice can

impel her votaries? I have no been put

The most valuable conquests," my Lord, which your minion has faid are restored, are they effentially different from those which your step-brother and yourself had agreed to restore? I am sure the difference is in favour of those who made the peace, notwithstanding we had a new ally to protect, and a new enemy to oppose; and all men faw, that though the necessary supplies in money might be raised, that the interest of that loan must speedily suppress our commerce by excess of taxes; and that the recruiting our land and naval armaments must suspend our manufactures, and tilling of the foil, by the loss of those labouring fubjects, which war devours.

But mad ambition, intoxicated by fuccesses due to the caprice of fortune, the blunders of our enemy, and the bravery of our forces, which disdained to govern less than the king and kingdom, seels no remorse in wantonly wasting the blood and treasure of our sellow-subjects, to seed her insatiable appetite. She repines at the mercy of that prince, who saved millions of

money,

money, and perhaps of lives, by reftoring peace, according to the dictates of his God

and of found policy.

It is not difficult, my lord, to interpret the infinuation which your friend and favourite has given concerning " the debt on " the civil lift, and the application of that " money towards procuring an intire apor probation of parliament, concerning the " peace." It is eafily understood, that the fame abandoned effrontery is meant to be thrown on the whole legislative body which has been imputed to his majesty; and that the cause of this debt was owing to the price of their corruption: an infinuation unjust and injurious, fince " the world must * know" that many of both houses, who voted therein on that occasion, are not to be corrupted, as far as it hitherto appears from the whole tenour of their lives. Nor does injury and injustice alone, even felfcondemnation attends that farcastic infinuation. Is it not amazing, my lord, that a man, who, publicly in print, professes himfelf the friend of Whigs, should have the hardiness of suggesting the crime of corruption to any men, when his avowed friends and favourites, for many preceding reigns, have fearcely used another instrument of governing, fave that of mifreprefentation? The

The labour of your favourite, my lord, to pervert every circumstance to his and your preposterous purposes, is egregiously malicious. He says, "the proud and seeble "Spaniard does not renounce, but only de splits from all pretentions which he may have formed on the right of sishing about "Newsoundland." With what a stender train he endeavours to set fire to the minds of the people; and, like Guy Fawkes, to blow the nation into consustion and ruin by his explosive malevolence, rather than live in peace beneath the reign of him whom you have called the best of princes.

Permit me, my lord, to affert, that this distinction of renounce from defist is not only iniquitously but foolishly made, and that the whole is unfairly represented. As to the latter part, your darling has difingenuously neglected to mention, that the king of Spain has engaged for his posterity as well as for himself. And as to the former, do you imagine that had the letters in the word renounce been inserted in the treaty, that they would have obliged the Spaniard, on a future rupture between England and that potentate, to behave with more observance of his stipulations then those in the word defit. If the Spaniard defifts, what does it avail whether he renounces or not? And if he

ns

England have been advantaged, or the Spaniard bound, by one word more than the other? At a moment, my lord, when I am furveying the happy expression of your physicanomy and figure, and contemplating the profound wisdom of your conduct in adopting this distinguishing favorite, I am with difficulty induced to think that you do not discern the fallacy of this representation of the above affair. I will not place the epithet of infamous before it, let that be consecrated to the abuse of his sovereign by the loyal author of the decent North Britoria.

Having in this manner, my lord, confidered your minion's misrepresentation and calumny on that part of his majesty's speech, relative to the peace, I proceed to lay before your discernment, the subsequent pasfage, in which he attacks the expression of " aconomy, which is recommended to the confideration of parliament? Let us, my lord, imagine that no instance of œconomy can be given but "in the king's " houshold;" may it not be inferred from thence, that his majesty has shewn in that, what he wishes to have followed by the state; and if it has not been hitherto obferved with all the rigour which good fubjects and the king defire, his majesty has now

now recommended it to the care of the legislature, in order for its promotion, and to manifest his approbation thereof. But, my lord, fuch censures in the neglect of œconomy are adopted with an ill grace, by you, who instead of reducing our late and numerous army to its prefent state, would have preserved it at a much higher, and thereby have inflamed the annual expences of the kingdom. This economy then, which is thus censured, is greater than that which you and your brother Pit would have adopted; if regard is to be paid to the speeches of a man, who thro' life has been engaged in contradicting and approving the same measures, in abusing and applauding the fame king, in condemning and fupporting the fame ministers.

But, my lord, with what grace can you impute the crime of inattention to national economy to other ministers, who yourself have been so inattentive thereto? Cast your eyes on Sir Lawrence Dundass, on Mr. Oswald, on Mr. Taylor, all whose contracts were made under your and Mr. Pit's administration, and you will find that, by your inattention to economy, these three have acquired not much less than a million and half of money; and were I acquainted with the names of other men

in fimilar stations, to what an enormous furnmight the produce of this neglected œco-

nomy amount.

I fay not this, my lord, with any view of reprehending the behaviour of the above gentlemen on that head; they have received and not granted such lucrative contracts: and the manners of the present times would deride any instance of renouncing self interest for that of their country. I am credibly informed that each of them has discharged his duty with laudable care, and they are so far deservedly institled to

the approbation of the public.

The two first of these, my lord, are natives of Scotland. Oh! what a malignant exclamation of abuse we should have heard founded from the brazen lungs of the North Briton, had fuch contracts been transacted during the administration of that nobleman whom he calls, "the Scottish prime minister of England!" what accufation of partiality! what scandal would have iffued from that exuberant fountain of malediction! Yourfelf, my lord, and your candid friend who are as mute as fish on the above subject, would have been as loud as thunder, had it happened under the ministry of lord Bute. He would have been execrated for those deeds, for which

Mr. Pit feels not the lightest breath of reprehension. Believe me, my lord, such flagrant partiality is illiberal and difgraces human nature, when profusion passes uncenfured in one man, and even œconomy. compared therewith, is imputed as the most atrocious neglect of public money to another.

Your favorite, my lord, having advanced thus far, asks, " if a regiment which was completed as to its complement of officers on the Tuefday, and broke on " the Thursday, is a proof of economy? To which I answer, that neither the administration of yourself and brother Pit, nor of any other Minister, can afford a more convincing proof of national economy. This regiment was raifing, whilst the uncertainty of peace made it necessary to prepare for war; and it was broken the moment the returning footsteps of tranquility made it practicable to fave the expence of fupporting it. Can it be ignorance, my lord, in the North Briton, which has induced him to urge this as an instance of profufion, or in your lordship to abet it? To what motive then shall it be imputed? To that reverence for candour, which is fo fimilar to that fensation which you entertain for his majesty.

The

toldw

The preceding question having been difcuffed in the above manner, I proceed to that which follows in your favorite North Briton, He asks, " is the pay of the Scottish " mafter Elliot to be voted by an English " parliament under the head of œconomy." To this I must reply that yourself and your author must be convinced that fince the union, no fuch affembly as an English parliament can exist. The names of English and Scottish are lost in that of British, and the denominating of it English, is malevolent and inflamatory, defigned to fting the minds of the people to outrage, who fee not the ferpent which lurks beneath this flowery pretention of superior love of England. But happily, my lord, for this country, however it may appear to your eyes, like the most venemous of all reptiles, your favorite writer has prevented the perfect execution of his defign, and alarmed the nation against the danger of his poison, by the loudness which has attended the shaking of his rattles. The bestowing the post of captain on that boy at this time, is a circumstance which I shall not attempt to justify. And yet there was a time when a whig minister, to whom the North Briton is fo much a friend, presented the post of coronet of horse to a female infant, on the day in which which he stood sponfor to her at the font, and not a thousandth part of the clamour fucceeded thereto, which has been excited on this account. To have made the latter a proper person for that post, her sex must have been metamorphofed; time would have effected it in the former, or have removed the cause of the censure. But permit me to fay, my lord, tho' I diflike the giving this post of captain to a boy, I revere the king, who strip'd it from him. The moment his majesty was informed he disapproved the proceeding, and inftantly reduc'd him to an half pay Enfign. your author and yourself, my lord, revere the great and amiable qualities of his majesty, as you profess you would have done his facred character justice, by having this conspicuous mark of it inserted in those papers which you are faid to revise and known to countenance.

What a clamour, my lord, has been made concerning this master Elliot, as if the constitution of the realm were subverting, by the bestowing a captain's commission on a boy; and which was recalled the moment it was known by him, who alone has right to give and to recall. Whereas your brother Pit, inobservant of that act which gave the crown to the prefent

fent family which you affect to reverence; that act which fays, "that no person born out of the kingdoms of England, Scot-" land or Ireland, or the dominions there-" unto belonging, although he be naturase lized or made a denizen (except fuch as are born of English parents,) shall " be capable to enjoy any office or place of trust either civil or military." That brother Pit, when minister, bestowed the post of general of the British army, on prince Ferdinand in Germany, in direct opposition to the express words of that act. How far the inroad committed on that facred compact, concluded between the king and people, in the reign of king William is cognizable by law, I shall not prefume to fay; but this I know, that whatever may be thought of that affair, he who shall dare to write or to print any thing in derogation of that act of fettlement is guilty of high treason; and that many ministers of these Realms have anciently been impeached for the commiffion of things less criminal.

The next imputation of criminality in the North Briton is, "the breaking the "thaff of so brave an officer as marshal "Ligonier, which is represented as an indignity done to him, and giving the "whole

whole power of the army to the crown. " that is to the minister, to be bestowed " only on the creatures of the Scottiffe " faction." I appeal, my lord, to every officer in the army, whether during your brother Pit's reign, the power of Lord Ligonier was not equally feeble as at present, and whether the minister did not at that time assume the same power which can be had now that general is discharged from the head of the army? But no poffible opportunity of perverting the truth of things is to be omitted, in order to incense an inconfiderate multitude. Was it not reasonable that your author should have tarried to fee the event of lord Bute's refignation, before he afferted that military preferments will henceforth be bestowed only on the creatures of the Scottish faction? An affertion, my lord, which can be justified on no other principle than that of divination, and tho your favorite is certainly bleffed with the benefit of feeing two ways at the fame time; a qualification which entitles him in part to that praife which you bestow on him as an officer, as it enables him to fee by more ways than one, thro' which an enemy may be advancing; yet I doubt whether this double entitles him to the second fight

or gift of prophecy. A quality, which if he enjoys, ought to be little relied on in the predictions of futurity, from a man who misrepresents the state of known and present facts. But pray, my lord, who are " these-tools of lord Bute's power, " who are to purfue the fame odious " measures?" If he means the secretaries of state, their established characters will vindicate them from the illiberal afperfion of being the tools of any man. The same spirit which animated the father of lord Egremont to the welfare of his native land, still actuates his fon: a spirit which was never known to bend before the promoter of base purposes; or to be directed by any motive but that of patriotism and truth. Lord Hallifax has given fuch incontrovertible proofs of his attachment to the good of that country over which he prefided as his majesty's reprefentative, that no time can erafe them from the minds of its grateful subjects. And it would be abfurd to imagine he will be less devoted to the welfare of his native land. He has convinced the world, of his talents to govern immediately beneath the crown, of his superiority to pecuniary influence; and of the impracticability of his being dictated to by other men, to a degree degree fo conspicuous, that it would be ridiculous to conceive he can be the pliable observer of finister counsel from any man, or be less in power than that which his post imparts to him. Ask the Irish, why they hung on his chariot wheels and implored his return to complete the bleffings which he had begun to spread amongst them? Will the English therefore listen to the slanderous affertions of the North Briton, or defire to fee that noble Earl removed from power, and to defeat their own happiness? The first lord of the treafury is, my lord, your brother; he does that honour to your family which I with was to be found in every other branch of it. He, my lord, by renouncing the views of you and your brother Pit, and by adhering to his fovereign, has demonstrated that he is little apt to follow the precepts of faction. He, my lord, prefers the duties of allegiance to the calls of ambition; he chuses to be the servant and not the fovereign of his king, and refigning to the cause of justice the ties of con-languinity and of affinity, unites in his administration the service of his master with,

And now, my lord, your dispassionate favorite pronouncing the guilt of lord Bute,

E bursts

bursts into imprecation, "that he may " never find indemnity from an injured at nation, that the negociations of the late " inglorious peace and the excise may " haunt him wherever he goes, and the " terrors of the just resentment which he " must be in to meet from a brave and " infulted people." If the peace be inglorious which his majesty has made, what would that have been which your brother Pit would have concluded? But, my lord, the author of this peace will be bleffed by posterity, when that of the oppressive taxes which have been imposed by this ruinous war, and extravagant profusion of treasure shall be remembered with detestation. If the placing an excise on cyder, the most defenfible of all those taxes which have been laid fince the commencement of hoftilities is to haunt lord Bute, what ought that on beer to do to your excising brother? And tho', my lord, fome exceptions may be made to some minute particulars of the cyder act, shew me that reason which can bear examination, why the people in the cyder counties should drink their common liquor at less expence than those who drink beer? And wherefore, one part of his majesty's subjects should be at ease from a duty which the others are obliged to pay, unless they can plead the privilege of parliament, and like your author of the North Briton, be exempted from the laws which honest subjects are obliged to obey. Yet what tumult, what roaring for liberty has this impost raised; as if excise had been unknown before in this nation, or never extended fince its first institution. It is, my lord, to your brother Pit, the people are indebted for the extension of excise on malt and beer; in justice to the duke of Newcastle and to Mr. Legge, it ought to be made known that the interests of the loan for which the latter was mortgaged, was by them intended to be laid on fugar, and that your brother Pit, who treats his fuperiors in that fastidious manner, with which no man before him hath ever treated his equals, peremptorily infifted that it should be laid on beer, or that he would refign: the interest of the Creol prevailed over that of the honest and industrious Englishman. And whilst your favorite has been heating the imaginations of the people to believe that brother little less than their faviour, you, my lord, must be convinced that to him they are indebted for that encrease of a seventh part of their toil and labour, in order to acquire the price of that liquor which is fo E 2 neceffar

necessary to support them therein. Such are the different fates of men in power, he passed uncensured for that oppressive tax on beer, whilst lord Bute has been industriously made the object of popular reference, and of malicious pursuit for that on cyder; an impost which justice de-

mands and reason sanctifies.

necessor

The next paragraph of this fingular paper informs us, my lord, "that in vain will " fuch a minister, or the foul dregs of his " power, the tools of corruption and defpotism preach up in the speech, that " spirit of concord and that obedience to "the laws which is effential to good order." In this paffage, my lord, your North Briton feems to have ran belide the post, even tho' we should with him suppose, " the " king's speech to be that of the mini-" fter; the preaching up this speech, be it whose it may, must certainly belong to him who speaks it; and if that inference be just, has not your favorite made even his majesty one part of the Earl of Bute's foul dregs of power and tools of corrup-tion and despotism! How diligently he adheres to that reverence which he profefles to entertain for his sovereign? Did you remark this instance of it, my lord, when had de soing and a you of al doiriw

of justice?

He now pronounces that "they have " fent the spirit of discord thro' the land. " and he will prophecy that it will never " be extinguished but by the extinction of " their power," You and I, my lord, are convinced that his mifrepresentations and calumnies have fent the spirit of discord thro' the land, notwithstanding we differ so effentially in our conduct thereupon; and I verily believe him a false prophet, and that the power of his majesty whom he litterally ranks amongst the foul dregs and tools of corruption, will never be extinguish'd, but by the course of nature; and that the extinction of it would not reflore the spirit of concord to the land. Who are they, my lord, whom the North Briton would place in the soom of those noblemen and gentlemen who are in the administration, fince lord Bute has refigned? I will fave you the answer. They are your lord-Thip and your brother Rit who in the letter to a citizen of London, have declared that you will no longer remain in the ministry, than you can absolutely distate and direct the measures of the state, even in opposition to the king and the privy council. For furely, you will not deny. that condit

that had his majesty been of your opinion, you had contemned the advice of his council. Will the spirit of concord be sent forth by enthralling the king and his subjects? Are the English reduced implicitly to obey ministerial despotism, unknown before, and remain unanimous beneath it? I trust, my lord, the North Briton is mistaken.

However, my lord, the the fpirit of concord is not gone forth, your author declares, "the spirit of liberty has," of which this paper of the North Briton is an illuftrious instance, " and a noble opposition has been given to the wicked inftruments of oppression." By this neble opposition, I presume, he means the opposition of your lordship's nobility; otherwise it will be difficult to understand him. Certainly, my lord, there is nothing truly noble in imputing abandoned effrontery, and infamous fallacy to the king upon the throne; and I fancy you yourself will hardly avow it in the house of lords. Should not then the spirit of liberty in the above part be changed to that of licentiousness; and the noble opposition to wicked instruments, to an ignoble attack on majesty itself. As to the " real wicked instruments of oppression," I have already faid enough concerning them,

them, and the events of time, I fear, will ftill verify what has been delivered thereon.

In what manner, my lord, would you have spread the spirit of liberty, who refused all power that was short of enthraling. the king himself! How have you contributed to fend forth a noble opposition to the wicked instruments of oppression, who by having fquandered fuch immense sums of english money on alien interests, have loaded the subjects of this state with the oppressive weight of eighty millions, which are added to the former debt? Is not this very excise, against which your favorite so vehemently inveighs the natural offspring of your and your brother Pit's extravagance of the public money?

olo w

What minister can be more despotic than he who will know no equal even in his fovereign? Can we believe your favorite, my lord, whose endeavours are so flagitiously exerted, to restore such men to power, when he declares, "that he wishes as much as any man in the kingdom to fee the honour of the crown main-" tained in a manner, truly becoming, " royalty." What can fo effectually difgrace the honour of the crown, as to fee, a fovereign refign it into the hands of a fervant, who has publicly declared; that

he will be his master, or not in office? Then, my lord, every honest man, "will a lament to fee it funk even to proftitu-"tion," as the North Briton affects to la-

The fucceeding fentence, my lord, is not less fingular than the preceeding: " What a shame, says the North Briton, was it " to fee the fecurity of this country, in point of military force, complimented away contrary to the opinion of royalty itlelf, and facrificed to the prejudice and to the ignorance of a fet of people, the " most unfit from every consideration to be confulted on a matter relative to the " fecurity of the house of Hanover." By this, my lord, I imagine your favorite would be understood to mean the reduction of the army below the first delign, and that it was done at the instance of the tories. My lord, it is the first time that a free state has been supposed to have lost its fecurity by reducing the influence of a flanding army. Or that men who have been the cause of it, have been slander'd with prejudice and ignorance. After the propagation of opinions fo abfurd, shall we hear the name of Wilkes and Liberty any more united. But perhaps, if I may draw an inference from the tenour of this whole

whole paper, that he means to infinuate, by its being contrary to the opinion of royalty itself," That the king was for preserving an enormous force, in order to fuggest suspicions and distrust against his majesty fuch contradictory defigns are common with your favorite. The house of Hanover, my lord, is not less secure in this than in preceeding reigns. I will venture to fay, its fecurity is improved by the stronger attachment of those men thereto, whom the North Briton has defamed, whose principles will never permit them desert, nor to maltreat his present majesty, whom they love : and from an invidious confiousness of that truth arises the malediction which that writer hath fo liberally poured forth against them,

Notwithstanding the oppressive effects of your ministerial entravagance, notwithstanding the insidious publication of your favorito in order to sow differnion and jealously and to depreciate the character of his sovereign; in his subjects eyes, notwithstanding this cry of liberty, which the North Briton utters, as the Hyana imitates the human voice, in order to delude and to devour; his majesty consided in the allegiance of his people, and rejoyed to see the army lessened, and the expence alleviated.

on his faithful subjects. He listened to the voice of reason, and was convinced, that such measures were dictated neither by prejudice nor ignorance, and that those who proposed that conduct were friends to liberty and to royalty. For the Tories, my lord, will for ever glory in supporting that constitutional union: and for the sake of continuing freedom to the nation, exert themselves to sustain the prerogatives of the crown against the rapacious attacks of tyrannous aristocratics, who have long held their princes and fellow subjects in abject submission.

There was a time, when your lordship declared, that if Mr. Pit was enabled to do his country service it would be oweing to the union of these Tories with your measures. Why are your sentiments so changed respecting them? Why does your favorite so luxuriantly abuse these men, and even why did you yourself, if you are the author of that letter in the North Briton from the Pretender to lord Bute: your caustic brother too has virulently declaimed against them; he thinks it more safe to be an orator than an author; his printed publications have in a great measure essaed the insluence of his oral. He has said, that he never joined them, but they him.

Wonderfull-distinction! as if two, things could be joined, and one of them not joined. Did not he and you receive them. with open arms? Did you not receive them on terms of never deviating from your opposition to Germanic measures? And yet you are enraged against them, that after such flagrant breach of promise in you, they have for aken the cause of fuch imperious and fuch futile men, and adhered to their fovereign whom they love and are determined to support. It is, my lord, the invariable characteristic of you, the shadow of your step, brother, as the North Briton is of yourfelf, the shadow of a shade, to adopt and to renounce all men and all measures, to expose and to applaud the sovereign on the throne, to decry and to approve all ministers as you are in or out of place: and your vindictive pursuits arife, because you are not recalled to those posts of trust and power, which you so tastidiously refigned. To what is royalty reduced, if it must be thus injuriously treated for not recalling those to power, who resigned, because it was to be less than arbitrary!

No disapprobation, my lord, of the North Briton's pursuits shall, I trust, induce ome of it alks, the possible facts

me to deviate from truth, or from doing him justice, even in the examination of this very paper. The following passage shall exhibit a proof of my impartiality and justice. In this he declares, I wish to " fee the honour of the crown religiously "afferted with regard to our allies." No man, my lord, can refuse his consent to believe the North Briton's good wifnes of fuccess to all things to which the term religious may be adjoined. Every day and hour's conversation and behaviour of him evince that truth; and where men are not happy enough to hear it from his lips, they may find it exemplified in the pious life of David king of Ifrael, written by that religious gentleman. Yet, my lord, there was no occasion for him to have observed such decency in that work. Blasphemy and fcandal, the abuse of God and of the king, are amongst the privileges of parliament; and he, whose duty it is to institute laws to prevent both, is protected from the penalty of transgressing these, and many more. Wherefore, to his piety alone his religious observation of decorum, in that instance, must be folely ascribed.

The next particular, in the North Briton, is no less extraordinary than the former. The writer of it asks, " Is it possible such

" facrifice of the honour of the crown of "England, as that a minister should al-" ready have killed his majesty's hand on being appointed to the most infolent and " ungrateful court in the world, without " a previous affurance of that reciprocal " nomination which the meanest court in Europe would infift upon, before the " proceeded to an act otherwise solderoga-"tory to her honour?" : In this place, my lord, your favourite should have proved, that no previous affurance of reciprocal nomination had been made, fince on that alone he would feem to found the indignity and factifice of the crown of England, and yet, great as this indignity and this facrifice may feem in his opinion, I can mention fuch as infinitely exceed them. What think you of the indignity and facrifice of the crown of England, when the expedition to Rochefort was made to yield to the convention of Clofter-Seven? What think you of them, when that convention was infracted through menaces from the king of Pruffia? Whatever may be the greatness of those which he mentions, they have cost the nation neither blood nor treasure; whereas the latter have proved the expence

COLUMN

oT

of millous, and the ridicule of half the

It is not the act, my lord, but the ambaffador: it is not the glory of the crown; but the detestation of the minister who has refigned, and of those who now preside, that have inflamed your favourite to write, and you to support such indignities in him. Lord Stormont, a peer of Scotland, is the person appointed. It is this which makes it an indignant act; and yet this nobleman, and this indignity and facrifice, as the North Briton names them, had long fince been committed by the late king, when he fent him ambaffador to the court of Poland; which act of indignity was neither complained of nor revoked, during the administration of Mr. Pit. On what account does the fame act become so ignominious in his present majesty, which was so blameless in the late ? Or, wherefore was it neceffary to conceal the knowledge of it, by not inferting it " in the Gazette?" It is on the word Scottish, and not on the fact, which your darling relies, to communicate a further venom to the minds of those whom he has already poisoned: and perhaps he may at length perceive, that " the " house of Murray" may exert its loyalty to the king whom he abuses. To

To the above he ladds, my lord; "but electoral policy has ever been objections to the court of Viennauf ils this defigned to fuggeff; that his majeffy prefers the ovelfare of his Hanoverian to his British lubjects ; and to excite the fame diffike against him, on that account, which prevailed against this progenitors in There may be malide -but no wouth fing the defign. be And obsequious as relectoral policy may have been to the court of Vienna, the obsequiousness thereof, in you and your brother; to the court of Pruffia has dost this nation a fum incomparably greater than all that has been lavished by former ministers on that of Austria; and with as little benefit to this which he was purfied, and that whotish

The next object of your favourite's farcarm is lord George Sackville. He afks,
Was it a tender regard for the honour
for the late king, or of his prefent majefty, that invited to court lord George
Sackville in these first days of peace, to
thare in the general satisfaction which all
good courtiers received in the indignity
offered to lord Ligonier, and on the advancement of a - a ill Of his late
majesty I will say no more than, Peace be
to his manes. Of the present I will venmure to aver, if that nobleman be called to
court.

court, it is to effact thet injury which was done him in your administration of It proiceeds from that justice which is the delight of vour fovereign; floring feet things as they really lexist; who mourns the violation of that celestial attribute in others, and repairs the breach, as fato as it is possible in Read this trial of lord George Sackwille with eyes unprejudiced, and you must allow his recall to be just: beould a tale unfold my lordy concerning the treatment of that noblemany that would probably embarrais the countenances even of you and of your stepbrother; and some future occasion may be found for it. At present I shall only defire would call to mind the vehicmence with which he was purfued, and that with all that senergy of power which was exerted, the count-martial could be induced alone to confirm his difmission from service : a sentence, mot improbably pronounced in complaifance to him who had difmiffed him, rather than in obedience to a conviction of his criminality. A fentence which in fact, addingnothing to the state to which he had been previously reduced, can hardly be called 'a

and But you, my lord, are right in patroe mizing the writer who strives to continue the efforts of popular prejudibe against him.

You know the day may come, when all that futile rant, falle metaphor, and turgid phrase of your brother's eratory, may be opposed by the uniformity of composition, force of argument, and propriety of expression of lord George; and that nothing but a madding multitude can countenance

the former, or depress the latter.

Dauntless as your favourite may appear, yet has he afforded one instance of fear or cunning. Of modesty it cannot be, by him who has outraged royalty itself. He has concluded the above sentence with a ----- without mentioning the person. Lord Granby, who has fucceeded to Lord Ligonier, is the only man who can, with propriety, be placed therein: even he, the foldiers and the nation's darling, in whom humanity and valour contend for the afcendency, is tacitly defigned, to heighten the indignity which, he fays, is offered to Lord Ligonier; a man whose services and talents have received the full reward of their deferts; whose age renders him improper for that post, and whose resignation has been attended with greater marks of honour. Are these the tokens of indignity offered to

In this farcasm of recalling lord George to court, your decent author reflects directly rectly on his king. when he asks "was " this to shew princely gratitude, to the " eminent services of the accomplished ge-" ral, of the house of Brunswie; who " had so great a share in rescuing Eu-" rope from the yoke of France." Ingratitude my lord is a vice unknown to our present prince, and that general has no reafon to complain thereof from England. Smile he must at the precipitate remuneration of your fanguine brother, who fent him the garter, and twenty thousand pounds for the acquisition of a conquest, which the most prejudiced must allow was not owing to his geralship. It was the treachery of Broglio, the bravery of a few English troops, unknown to him, and the spirit of lord Downe, in the moment of action, and in the face of danger, to which we are indebted for that victory, in confequence of which Prince Ferdinand received that conspicuous reward, and lord George that unmerited cenfure.

Whatever yoke might have been laid by France on Europe, but for that battle; is the rescue from it to be placed to the account of a general who was surprized by the enemy, and who had been deseated

but for the causes above mentioned?

The predilection of Germans is at an end, my lord, the claims of mercy and of justice, are now open to Englishmen, and so they will continue unless your favorite, and men like him persisting to inslame the multitude against their sovereign, create an esteem in him for continental subjects, who, animated by motives of reason, are loyal and obedient. Think my lord on the fatal consequences of alienating a sovereign's love from the subjects of this island: that love which we have long implored, and shall now enjoy, if surious ambition excite and direct not the insanity of the people.

Having advanced thus far, your minion alks "is it meant to affert the honour of the crown, only against the united wishes of a loyal and effectionate people founded ed in a happy experience of the talents, ability, intregrity, and virtue, of those who have had the glory of redeeming their country from bondage and ruin, in order to support by every art of corruption and intimidation, a weak, disjointed, incable set of — I will call them any thing but ministers, — By whom the favourite still meditates to rule this kingdom with a rod of iron."

No crown my lord can receive greater honour than by doing justice to the injured, and the loyalty and affection of the people, will encrease in proportion as that justice is expanded. But it seems this loyalty and affection are founded, according to the expression of your favourite, not on the virtues of the king, but on those which he has attributed to you and your step brother. Let me examine into the rectitude of thus afcribing fuch excellence to you. Was your ability manifested in planing these expeditions where the force was infutficient to the defign. In the fruitless expenfive armaments which were fent on the coasts of France and Belleisle? Fruitless I mean in advantages to this kingdom. Was your integrity manifested in adopting all you had condemned, and striping England for the fake of German interests? Was your virtue manifested, or our Redemption obtained, by adding eighty millions of money to the debt already contracted? Is our bondage lessened by being mortgaged to labour fifteen hours out of twenty, to obtain wherewithal to pay the interest of those debts? Is our ruin protracted by this acceleration of the cause thereof? What art of corruption has been added to this which has not been practifed by the ministers of proceeding reigns? And I believe my lord, that you will find his majesty and his ministers firm, united.

united, and capable of opposing the malevolence, infidiousness, and machinations of your favourite, and of all who ungratefully fupport him. And this I will aver, that whatever that nobleman may meditate whom he calls the favourite, the noble persons whom he has named his tools, will follow no other meditations but those which tend to the honour of his majesty, the freedom and felicity of the people; and that the iron rod of your reign is completely broken. In this manner my lord have I gone thro' the examination of the forty fifth number of the North Briton, all of which are open to the fame castigation, not even that excepted which you are supposed to have written; and from the whole of it, what connection does there appear between the name of Wilkes and Liberty? Or, what has his difcharge in common with the idea of national fredom, that the multitude should rejoice thereat? Those members of both houses who prefer licentiousness to liberty, may, indeed, rejoice to fee that so long a lift of transgressions, with impunity, is now added to their privilege; and the people may be induced to lament it.

Give me leave, my lord, to observe one more inconsistency with which your favourite is endowed. He applauds, and justly,

the

the behaviour of serjeant Glyn in his behalf. It is a circumstance that will redound eternally to the honour of that gentleman, that he afforded your author that affiftance to which every Englishman is intitled; as it is an ignominy that should for ever rest on all ferjeants who have betrayed their clients in fimilar cases. Such, instead of being honoured with the name of king's ferjeants. should be branded in the forehead with G. R. in order to mark them, and prevent others from being deceived; but fuch fronts are not susceptible of impression. And yet he has, in a former paper, flandered Sir John Phillips for exerting that affistance in favour of Mr. Murray, whose cause was at least as much the cause of national liberty as that of Mr. Wilkes. A circumstance which must condemn the advocate he would praise; or the praise which he has beflowed on him, must alike belong to the other whom he has abused.

May I presume to advise your lordship? Repent, my lord, and give some tokens of repentance for your late conspicuous patronage of the North Briton. You have sacrificed too much to the vindictive gods of the Saxons, which are placed in your gardens. If you will not repent, snatch your own and brother's bust from the temple

temple of Friendship, and bury them beneath the ruins of the fane of modern Virtue. If they contain the same prolific principles which you posses, they will prove the most fertilifing manure for the thorns and brambles which grow around it. But I rather wish, my lord, that you would attentively observe the epitaph in your gardens, inscribed by your predecessor to Signior Fido, an Italian greyhound, wherein itris faid, by detecti and oil tot no nift

" He hunted not after fame; " Respected the laws of society, And died an honour and example to the whole species." Lavide recoined himstope The characte,

I am, my Lord, and the what day

Administration

"private man, have beddiffice the Your Lordship's most obedient,

"Treated James Lot ("Armiles, Followy and most humble Servant,

ting for the land of the contract of the contract of the side formanian adding to be a final and terms at the collection of the March 1963.

And the Control of the Control

with the village in the Abelians after POST-

POSTCRIPT,

Be pleased, my lord, to present my best respects to your savorite, and be so good as to acquaint him, that I am suspicious, he has been no less egregiously taken in by the person who sent him a copy of a common soldier's discharge, by W. Ellis, Esq; than the Auditor was by the account of the Florida turf: at least, whether this intelligence was sent designedly to dupe him or not, he has thereby duped himself, or intended to dupe his readers.

"By the right honourable Welbore Ellis,

"Having received his majefly's commands,

" do hereby discharge Anthony Nichols, a " private man, from the Coldstream regi-

" ment of foot guards, commanded by

" general James lord Tyrawley, from any

" further fervice in the faid regiment.

" To all his Ma-

" jefty's officers, " civil and mili-

" tary, whom it may concern."

Given under my hand and feal at the war-office, this 26th day of March, 1763.

W. ELLIS.

As a proof of the illegality of the above discharge, the following article of war is adduced.

" Art.

Art. of War, Section Art. 21 After " a non-commissioned officer or foldier 45 Mall have been duly enlitted, and worn, " he shall not be diffinited our service. without a discharge in writing, and no discharge granted to him shall be allowed 3's of as fufficient, which is not figned by a field officer of the regiment into which "he was inlifted, or commanding officer, where no field officer of the regiment is in Great Britain buelti uson mit selt

2 ve Luere. Is the fecretary of war a field officer of What officer is he?

Vis. Did his majefty exclude himfelf from discharging a common man by this article of war, faving on the above conditions?

2. If he did, has he not excluded himfelf from the power of reducing and difbanding his troops, but by the confent of

the above officer?

3. Can not then the field officers according to his opinion, keep the army from being reduced or disbanded, respecting the non commission'd officers and private men in contradiction to his majesty's commands?

4. If his majesty should break the whole number of field officers, would not he be incapacitated from difmissing the private

men?

his majesty's command? immoo non a

6. Is not the focretary at was the proper

officer to lignify furth commands?

7. Did not the Morth Briton, who, by this deportment in command, was endeared to the whole corps, in stating his single quere, as much forget that the discharge was made by the king's communds, as the Auditor, that there were no chimnies in the American islands, to burn turf in?

8. Have you, my lord, and Mr. Wilkes any doubt of the legality of your being discharged from the king's service by his manifest of states.

of war, faving on the above conditions?

2. If he did, has he not excluded himfelf from the power of reducing and difbanding his troops, but by the confent of
the above officer?

g. Can not then the field officers according to his or aign, keep the army from being reduced. FirMaded! respecting the non-commission'd officers and private men in contradiction to his majesty's commands?

4. If his majesty should break the whole murabor of field officers, would not he be increased from dismissing the private area.

